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Reviewer's report:

The authors have responded well to the issues raised earlier. I have one further suggestion for discretionary revision:

Table 3 could potentially be improved by listing the OR and 95% CI for all of the variables listed in the left hand column, adjusting for the factors included in the final model. At the moment the reader is left only with OR and CI for the univariable analyses and, although the factors do not have a significant relationship with the outcome, the adjusted OR and CI are still informative, especially given the sample size. This is also more typical of a table in an epidemiological paper.
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