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Reviewer's report:

Minor Essential Revisions:

Abstract

Page 2 - Methods - 3rd sentence, The patients were ‘followed to their homes’. Awkward - please use a different phrase than followed to their homes as it sounds odd.

Page 2 - Results - please put N's with % (e.g. 51.7%, n=35 or whatever number).

Background - Very enjoyable to read. Good job.

Methods -

Page 5 - Can you remark more on the fact that only 15 people of the 180 patients served daily had chronic disease?

Variables -

Page 6 - Why did you group appropriate home management this way? Was there anything significant with appropriate storage of medicines alone or any of the other variables?

Page 7 - Were pill boxes used? Were there times when more than 1 place for storage was okay - say cupboard and then table - if it was easier to remember?

Results

Page 9 - Can you give a reason as to why people kept pills in their pockets - were these pill bottles or physical pills?

Discussion

Page 12 - It is interesting that analgesics were more commonly duplicated. Good point.

Page 12 - Enjoyed last paragraph on this page - Very interesting.

Page 14 - Who does most of the health worker communications? Pharmacists - Nurses - physicians - all of the three?

Page 16 - This study is strong in that patients... this paragraph is worded awkwardly. I get the point but it could be stated better.
Overall, I really enjoyed this work and thank you for your research efforts.
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