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Dear Editor

We have revised the manuscript as requested. We have highlighted that the function of the review was in line with the development stages of the Medical Research Council framework for the development of complex interventions, which provides a more useful referent than our earlier link to realist reviews. We are grateful for the advice of the editors and reviewers in this regard.

We have provided more detail on the databases searched, the process of data extraction, and the methods of synthesis. We accept that there is a need to provide extra detail to allow reviewers to assess the process, although we do highlight that the paper represents a synthesis of concepts, and that it is unlikely to be possible to describe the process in the same level of detail as a conventional systematic review.

We hope our revised description is acceptable. We have added additional comments to the discussion outlining some of the potential limitations of our approach.

We hope the revised paper is acceptable for review

Best wishes

Peter Bower