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Reviewer's report:

This paper now reads well.

There are a couple of points which I think are essential changes to make:

Title could still be more clear: How about 'STI Service Delivery in British Columbia, Canada–providers' views of their services for youth'?

The analysis is now presented as a thematic analysis, and that is fine - this means that references to the assumptions and approaches of discourse analysis are not now needed, and are probably confusing for the reader. I would take out reference to social construction in the abstract, and take out the two paragraphs on p7/8 which start with 'Our approach was based in a social constructionist perspective of language and social processes.....'

Similarly, I would call your findings 'themes' throughout rather than discourses.

Typo p6: semi-structured interviewers

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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