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Reviewer’s report:

The authors have adequately addressed almost all the issues raised in my first review and accepted suggestions put forward. The revised manuscript significantly improved in its clarity and completeness.

There is only one issue that I feel was left partially answered and further input would be welcomed. It refers to the literature on DCE in developing countries. While the authors briefly mention the issue in their Conclusions, they don’t really explain why DCE has considered to have some additional limitations when conducted in developing vis-a-vis developed countries. I think the readers would be interested in reading this part both in the introduction as well as among study limitations. Also, it could be an occasion for the authors to explain how they addressed in their own study some of these challenges.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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