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In response to the reviewer’s comments, the following changes have been made:

Reviewer 1

1. Study limitations now form a separate sub-section of the Discussion section on p33.
2. Further research is highlighted in a new paragraph on p33 immediately before the Limitations section.

Reviewer 2

3. Broader relevance of the study outside London and to a non-London audience is now addressed in the Abstract (p2), Background section (p5), Discussion (p32), Conclusions/Recommendations (p34).
4. Documentary analysis search strategy and inclusion criteria are now described in the Methods section (p6).
5. Participant selection for interviews and focus groups is now described in greater detail in the Methods section (p7).
6. The reviewer states incorrectly that there were no interviews with patients. In this paper we refer to patients as service users, previously stating in the Introduction that interviews with service users were conducted, but that findings are presented in a separate paper. However, to reduce any unintended confusion this is now stated at the end of the first paragraph of the Methods section, (p6) and reiterated at the end of the first paragraph in the Semi structured interviews and focus groups’ section (p7), which details the selection of healthcare professionals and managers for interview.