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Reviewer's report:

Minor Essential Revisions

Overall, this is a useful paper.

However, the presentation of results in the tables is weak. I am referring specifically to Tables 3 and to a lesser extent Table 4. Table 3 seems quite lazy, almost as if the authors have submitted the computer output. At the very least, the main effects should be shown before the interactions. Moreover, it might be logical to present demographic variables before socio-economic variables. Table 3 is not really interpreted by the authors. At present, it does not add anything to the paper. What do these interactions mean?

The number of cases should be provided in both Tables.

In Table 4, when findings from the raw data are provided, overall means for less complete VCT services and more complete VCT services should be provided.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable
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