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**Reviewer's report:**

* Major Compulsory Revisions

None

* Minor Essential Revisions

I think there is a danger that the abstract will confuse casual readers. The results talk about ‘partnership formation’ as being key, but the conclusions seem to describe a different list of factors. On reading the full paper it is clear that the five factors are proposed as interventions to improve ‘partnership formation’ and have been identified partly through the model, and partly through discussions and further analysis. I think it would be useful to make that process clear in the abstract

* Discretionary Revisions

None
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