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Dear editors,

The authors would like to express their gratitude to the reviewers and the editorial board for the acceptance of this manuscript. We are pleased to re-submit the edited manuscript ‘Conceptualising the technical relationship of animal disease surveillance to intervention and mitigation as a basis for economic analysis’.

We have made all of the requested formatting changes and checked for typographical errors. Please find below a point-by-point explanation of the changes made.

Yours sincerely,

Barbara Häslér and co-authors

Veterinary Epidemiology and Public Health Group
Royal Veterinary College
Hawkshead Lane
N Mymms, Hatfield AL9 7TA, UK
Tel 1 +44 1707 667 037
Tel 2 +44 2079 272 518
Fax   +44 1707 667 051

Editorial changes

Major revisions

Footnotes/Appendices: Please reformat and move to a ‘Endnotes’ section directly before the references. All points should be referenced with either a number or a letter.

The footnote used has been incorporated in the text. Your senior production editor Robyn Hughes advised by email on 30 August 2011 that “it would be fine to just cite the Appendix once, where the original footnote was”. Therefore, the information in the footnote (“definitions of key terms used can be found in the Appendix”) has been integrated in the text in brackets after the first key term used.

Structure: this manuscript does not have the correct structure. Please reformat it to use these headings: Abstract; Background; Discussion; Summary; Abbreviations (if any); Competing interests; Authors’ contributions; Authors’ information (optional); Acknowledgements (optional); References

The heading ‘The proposed framework and discussion’ has been changed to ‘Discussion’. The heading ‘Acknowledgements and funding’ has been changed to ‘Acknowledgements’. The location of the Appendix has not been changed, as advised by your senior production editor Robyn Hughes.
by email on 30 August 2011 who said that “The appendix will be fine to leave where it is, [...]”. All other main headings are listed as required.

References: Please make sure references are cited in numerical order. We notice reference 14 and 15 are not cited within the main text. Please make sure references continue to be cited in numerical order once this reference is cited.
All references have been checked and cited in the correct numerical order.

Minor revisions

Highlighting/tracking: Please remove all highlighting and tracking from the manuscript.
All highlighting and tracking has been removed from the manuscript.

Typography: Please take this opportunity to check your manuscript for any typographical errors and to make any final corrections or revisions. This is the final proofing stage for your manuscript, and you will not be able to make any changes after acceptance.
The manuscript has been checked for any typographical errors and final corrections have been made. Please note that the label on the x-axis in Figure 1 was missing, which has been corrected.