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Reviewer's report:

This paper is timely and healthcare relevant. It is significant for the healthcare providers in several countries. The literature review results in the listing of three aspects with regard the use of knowledge management in the business sector.

Major Compulsory Revisions:

1.- To homogenize the structure and writing of results. The format of the review is written in three sections, however, you ended each one differently. For example, the section “KM Solutions/Strategies” ends with a conclusion in contrast with the section “KM Facilitators and Barriers”, which does not have a conclusion. Moreover, some works are analyzed more profoundly than others, for instance, in the final paragraph of page 3 you discuss to a greater extend the reference [39], which is different to the others in that section of the document. This way of presenting results would usually be for a specific purpose in your discussion or conclusion, but it is recommend to standardize the structure and layout of your results in the main body of your paper.

2.- To progress further in the discussion and conclusions. Firstly, some aspects of the methodology review should be clarified. The keyword search is very broad, and provides a large number of studies that do not correspond to the objective of the literature review. Therefore, it should be specified whether repeated items were removed, or if the keyword was provided to search engines in specific sections such as the title, abstract, or full text. Secondly, your suggestions in the discussion refer to an overlap of knowledge management with the knowledge translation process. However, this conclusion does not have an explicit classification with regard to the three aspects of your literature review (Reasons for KM, KM Solutions/Strategies , and KM Facilitators and Barriers). To improve the discussion, it is advised to include an analysis of each of the three individual aspects of the review. And finally, a vital consideration in the application of knowledge management approaches is the importance of the organizational context like organizational culture. Furthermore, considering that your review focuses on the business sector it would be interesting to clarify how your findings can be extended to the medical domain, which has a different organizational culture (i.e. you could discuss what knowledge management facilitators or barriers in the business sector can be found in the medical domain).

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field
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