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**Reviewer’s report:**

The paper has improved and conveys a single and clear message. I believe the paper should be published but I have some minor corrections to suggest.

**Abstract:**

The first two sentences from the results section should be moved to the methods section. The results section in the abstract should begin with a sentence similar to the one found in the first paragraph (the first sentence) on page 18.

On page 5 The first sentence of the second paragraph needs revising as the English is a little odd in my opinion.

Page 10 sentence starting with ‘it was agreed that the aim...’ needs revising to make the message clear.

Page 17 sentence starting ‘Building the tool...on input resource requirements was often missing’ . I think you need to spell out what the input requirements are.

Other than these minor corrections I felt the paper was very easy to read.