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November 4th, 2009

Dear Dr. Puebla,

Re: Colligan L, Anderson J, Potts H, Berman J. “Does the process map influence the outcome of quality improvement work? A comparison of a sequential flow diagram and a hierarchical task analysis diagram”.

Thank you very much for your positive opinion regarding our manuscript. We have carefully checked the manuscript and made the following changes to formatting:

1. Headings and sub headings were changed to sentence case.
2. The headings ‘Participants’ and ‘Procedure’ were changed to italics to distinguish them from higher level headings.
3. The section on competing interests now reads: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.
4. Commas were inserted and full stops deleted in the authors’ affiliations to comply with the example title page.
5. The bold formatting and inverted commas have been removed from the first authors’ affiliation.
6. The following has been added to the authors’ contributions statement: All authors read and approved the final manuscript.
7. Tables have been revised so that all cells are delineated with gridlines.
8. The following attribution has been added to the acknowledgements: Janet Anderson’s work at NIHR King’s Patient Safety and Service Quality Research Centre is funded by the National Institute for Health Research.

Yours sincerely,