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To 
The Editor

BMC Public Health

Reference: MS: 1250415418367419

Research article: Evaluation of Computerized Health Management Information System for Primary Health Care in rural India

Dear Sir

Thank you for your mail and for giving me the opportunity to submit a revised version of the manuscript.

You have mentioned “In the methods section of your manuscript you describe the computerized health management system in detail, however there is very little detail on the methodology of your study. Little information is reported on the interviews in your study or how they were carried out. Also no information is included on how costs were calculated. This section must be revised to elaborate on these points”.

I have made the necessary changes (highlighted in red in the manuscript).

1. Detail about the methodology of the study had been revised.

2. Methodology of data collection has been added in page 5 under the heading Data Collection for comparison of manual and computerized HMIS, and Analysis of qualitative data. I have also referred to the RATS guidelines for Qualitative research as you have suggested.

3. A new paragraph on Cost analysis has been added in page 5-6.

“We also require you to comment on whether ethical approval was obtained for this aspect of your study”

4. In response to this comment, I have added one paragraph on Ethics in page 7.

And finally as per your suggestion, I would like you to please consider publishing this manuscript in BMC Health Services Research.

Thanking you

Hoping for a favourable response

Dr Baridalyne Nongkynrih