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Reviewers report:

The revised manuscript on ‘Access to primary health care among Burmese migrants in London: a cross-sectional descriptive study’ by Nyein Chan Aung et al reads well.

However, I have a few points (Discretionary Revisions) that need to be taken into account before considering for publication:

1. The author may consider acknowledging the following work by including this as a reference “Adhikary P, et al., Health and lifestyle of Nepalese migrants in the UK published in BMC Int Health Hum Rights. 2008 May 23;8:6”

2. The author may consider moving statement related to methodology from results (2nd part of last sentence in 1st paragraph of results)

3. Number at the start of the sentence should be written out in words.

4. You may consider proving a 95% CI for GP registration rate

5. ORs and their 95% CIs should be presented with two decimal places consistently (both in text and in tables)

6. The author has stated ‘marginally significantly associated’ in fact where 95% CI does not include the value of 1. In the section on GP registration, the author listed the significant factors. The next sentence starts with ‘On the other hand’, age was included in the list. Please rethink of mixing age and education in one sentence.

7. The results in the GP registration are not clear. You may revise it.

8. Instead of finding correlation co-efficient between a continuous variable (age, etc.,) and nominal variable (GP registration), the author may present summary measures (mean or median and their respective associated variability depending on the distribution) and compare.

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests
Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.