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Author's response to reviews:

Dear editor,

First, we would like to thank all three reviewers for their extensive and valuable comments on our manuscript. We believe the quality of our manuscript has improved and we are thankful for the opportunity to incorporate review comments.

Second, we would like to thank you for your patience and understanding while awaiting a revised version.

In your email you suggested we should include a trial registration number of PERFECT D below the abstract. As the most comments regarding our manuscript considered the fact that this was a model based study using limited number of data from the trial, we decided to report the trial registration number in the text rather than in the abstract. We hope you agree with this decision.

We uploaded a revised version in two formats: with and without track changes. Further, we included a document describing how we incorporated review comments including an appendix.

We also included a copy of our trial paper recently published by Archives of Dermatology. In total, four documents are uploaded on the site.

We hope we informed you according to your expectation and we are happy to answer any additional questions.

Kind regards,
Nina Eminovic