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Dear Editor,

Thank you for your letter in which you indicate that you will consider a resubmitted paper. We are very grateful with the useful comments of reviewer 1. Please find underlined our revisions according to the comments of the associate editor. We do hope that you will consider this revised version for publication.

On behalf of all authors,

yours sincerely,

Kirsten van Steenbergen-Weijenburg
Reviewer's report

Dear Mrs van Steenbergen-Weijenburg,

Your revised manuscript has now been re-reviewed by our Associate Editor. However, before we can proceed with your manuscript please could we ask you to address the following points:

- Please provide the name of the ethical committee that gave approval for the study.
  
  *Thank you for noticing. We have added the name of the Ethical Committee to the manuscript.

- Please could you structure your abstract according to the guidelines provided at the link below:
  http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/ifora/abstracts. Please be sure to provide context information within the Background section of the Abstract.
  
  *We have structured the abstract.

- Please include a 'Competing interests' section between the Conclusions and Authors' contributions. If there are none to declare, please write 'The authors declare that they have no competing interests'.
  
  * Unfortunately, no 'competing interests' section was available. This section has now been added to the manuscript.

- Please include an Authors' contributions section before the Acknowledgements and Reference list.
  
  *We have added this section.

- We strongly encourage you to include an Acknowledgements section between the Authors' contributions section and Reference list. Please acknowledge anyone who contributed towards the study by making substantial contributions to conception, design, acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data, or who was involved in drafting the manuscript or revising it critically for important intellectual content, but who does not meet the criteria for authorship. Please also include their source(s) of funding. Please also acknowledge anyone who contributed materials essential for the study. Authors should obtain permission to acknowledge from all those mentioned in the Acknowledgements. Please list the source(s) of funding for the study, for each author, and for the manuscript preparation in the acknowledgements section. Authors must describe the role of the funding body, if any, in study design; in the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; and in the decision to submit the manuscript for publication.
  
  *We have now added an Acknowledgements section.