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Minor comments

The analysis presented in Table 4 is appropriate for the study design.

From the description in the methods it seems that 30 different analyses were performed 6 outcomes by 5 safety dimensions. I would have thought about a multiple regression model where the 5 dimensions are fitted together. Some clarification will help. The working correlation structure used for the GEE is also not specified.

The study has a large sample size and this is reflected in the statistical significance of an odds ratio of .982 (service delay by teamwork). This surely cannot be interpreted as an important / significant safety finding. Given the rest of the odds ratios for this dimension there seems to be no association between this outcome and the safety dimensions.
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