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Author's response to reviews:

Dear BioMed Central Editorial Team:

Thank you very much for reviewing the manuscript and giving us the opportunity of being published in your journal. In line with comments from Referee 1, we are not making any new changes to the manuscript.

In the initial review of the manuscript, Referee 1 (S. Peiró) posed discretionary questions that the authors could choose to ignore. This time the reviewer also points out that his discrepancies do not constitute his opposition to the article’s publication but should be considered, instead, as participation in a discussion on the topic. His opinions are very instructive and interesting, and are a subject of a well-known debate about the impact of considering different perspectives in the final outcome of an economic evaluation.

The referee remarks again that the manuscript presents a relatively novel point of view (…) sufficiently well developed to be published with the intention of opening debate. This viewpoint totally coincides with the purpose of this paper (submitted to the section "Debate"), which is to provide an innovative approach in health economics and stirring up a debate about the key role of the clinician in achieving efficiency improvements in each particular patient evaluated.

For the reasons explained above, we would like to maintain the actual version of the manuscript and wait for the Editorial Board Member's accompanying piece.

Thank you very much for your time and attention.

Yours faithfully,

Jose Sacristan, MD PhD
Medical Director, Lilly Spain
E-mail: sacristan_jose@lilly.com
Lilly S.A.