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Reviewer's report:

The topic is very relevant: Assessing diagnostic technologies is of utmost importance, since diagnostics take an increasing amount of resources in health care. Since not all of the new diagnostic techs can be assessed, prioritisation is important.

The manuscript is well written, understandable and with a clear research question. The methodology used is adequate,

I propose only a two minor revisions:

1.) Part of the discussion is NOT well placed there (the part on the already existant priorisation criteria)and should rather be in the introductory section. The discussion should only focus on diagnostic priorisation criteria.

2.) I find the list of the names of the delphi-participants/experts unnecessary/superfluous and propose to eliminate it.
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