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Reviewer's report:

Major Compulsory Revisions: the article is missing the abstract and keywords, which needs to be added

Minor Essential Revisions
Chapter Design p.3 needs changing the references to Vancouver system (Glaser & Strauss 1967 (is also missing from the References), Charmaz 2005 (22??)

Data collection and participants: what do you mean "two parents gave pilot interviews?" wording of the sentence should be changed. Did you reach the saturation with the data collection? That could be a valuable addition in your Discussion. I suggest omitting the Table 1, due to the possibility of recognition of the participants. You could only describe the characteristics of the participants in the text. See, that also when discussing the study ethics.

Study ethics should be described in more detail: who knew the names of the participants; how the tapes were kept; when destroyed;

Study results are interesting and well written. The quotas are well selected and give nice description of the data. The figure 1 clarifies well the results.

The logic reminded unclear for me - why it start with "an introduction" to Discussion and then continues to Watchfully checking rapport? Again in p 15 reference Olin Lauritzon 1990 should be changed to the Vancouver style.

Credibility and limitations of the study needs more in-depth views of the study process and the role of researchers.

Conclusions are based on the results. But I would recommend to have additional thoughts about the implementation of your model in practise. Could it be used in public health nurses education?

Reference list was missing two references mentioned above.

Discretionary Revisions: some of the sentences are very long and complicated, thus it would be valuable to look through the text and slit some sentences, e.g page 1 2nd chapter: Because PCHC nurses...

The place of the chapter "Setting" could be more informational to move it already as a part of the Background. The PCHC system in Sweden should be clarified.
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Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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