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Reviewer's report:

General
The corrections asked for were generally done thoroughly. The only bit of information I still miss is whether the nurses did the rating individually or as a team. This might be obvious to people understanding how work allocation is done in the settings, but is not so clear to internationally readers.

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept after discretionary revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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