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General

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)
In the abstract I would reconsider the use of the word "Hardly" it would be sufficient to say that the nurses in NPS did not display greater knowledge than those employed in Non-NPS
Page 4: I am not sure what you mean by "increased to consult nurse specialist" do you mean that more staff consult these nurses for advice?
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Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes
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