Reviewer's report

Title: Perimenopausal Contraception In Turkish Women: A cross-sectional Study

Version: 1 Date: 4 November 2006

Reviewer: Ernest O Orji

Reviewer's report:

General

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

This study is very relevant. The title and abstract were well written. The methodology is acceptable. The tables are relevant. However, the first two pages on the discussion (pages 4 & 5) are more like literature review instead of discussing the results in the study. I suggest that the authors modify these first two pages of the discussion to focus more on discussing the results and then comparing their findings with other works done. The manuscript is otherwise acceptable for publication.

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No
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