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Reviewer's report:

Major Compulsory Revisions

Your introduction provided a strong explanation for the need of your study. I can not assess the methodology, results, and discussion because many details are omitted. It is unclear how the themes/categories were determined. Were there multiple raters who identified themes/categories? Did they agree? Who were these raters? How were any disagreements resolved? To what extent did the themes/categories organically occur from reviewing transcripts vs. mirroring the questions asked during the focus groups.

The writing needs to be improved. There are many grammatical and punctuation issues throughout and some phrasing is unclear (for example, what is a clear-sighted nurse)?

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited

Statistical review: Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.
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