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Overall, the manuscript is much improved. I appreciate the authors taking all reviewers comments seriously and responding to them in a thoughtful way. There are some very minor revisions that I would still like to see.

Minor Essential Revisions

1) On page 5 the authors review some studies of experiences and perceptions of home visiting by nurses. In the following paragraph they then review studies of the NFP, stating that there are no published studies examining experiences of mothers in the NFP. This is a very nuanced distinction and should be made even more explicit. They are evaluating an NFP program, whereas other studies were studying nurse home-visiting, but not necessarily NFP.

2) Top of page 10: it is not clear who completes the coding of the interviews. They describe double coding for the first 10 interviews, but unclear what happens after that for the remaining 8.

3) Page 21: I believe the first 2 long quotes should be blocked, like many of the others.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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