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**Reviewer's report:**

Discretionary revisions
Page 3 defines a clinical uncertainty as "a clinical query that cannot currently be answered by referring to reliable up-to-date systematic reviews...". Later in the same paragraph the authors say "answers can be found where evidence exists from systematic reviews..". These two statements appear to be contradictory.
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