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**Reviewer's report:**

General
The whole issue of need for Clinical Information Systems has been static for last 8 years but has recently started to become a major issue. Therefore this paper is very timely. Even though it relates to one area of Canada (Ontario)the findings reflect the general concerns that personnel working in Critical Care are finding worldwide. The authors themselves do point out the shortcomings in of a self-administered survey such as this such as the fact that responses indicate self-reported rather than directly observed implementation of information technology.

However, the review reveals valuable baseline information for clinicians wishing to be involved in procurement of Clinical Information Systems for the Critical Care Units in which they work.

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)
Nil

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)
Nil

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)
Nil

**What next?:** Accept without revision

**Level of interest:** An article of importance in its field

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable

**Statistical review:** No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a
statistician.
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