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Author's response to reviews:

Iratxe Puebla
Senior Assistant Editor
BMC-series journals

Thank you for your letter of 14-03-2006. We are pleased that our manuscript, in principle, is accepted for publication.

We have made the following changes according to reviewers' comments:

1: Replaced the Abbreviations section after the Conclusions.
2: Renamed the Introductions section to Background
3: Added information on ethical approval. In fact no approval was necessary.
4: Included a brief Conclusions section after the Discussion.
5: Included a Competing interests section.
6: Included an Authors' contributions section.
7: Changed P3 line 4th from "This could indicate that the latter risk measures are difficult to comprehend" to "This could indicate that these risk measures are...".
8: Changed P7 line 2 "Consent was..... not present if the subject rejected the treatment or meant too little information was given" to "if the subject rejected the treatment or stated too little information was given".
9: Changed P10 line 3: "Mislead" to "Misled".
10: Inserted "than" between rather and information P10, line 5.
11: Revised the manuscript according to the manuscript formatting checklist.

We hope the paper is now acceptable.

Yours sincerely

Rasmus Dahl
MD