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Reviewer’s report:

General

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

Thank you for the revised manuscript. I note that Figure 1 still isn’t readable so you’ll need to check this. Also, is reference 20 the one you intended to use because it does not seem to fit the sentence it is used in and made me concerned that some of the references may be out of kilter - just check they’re ok.

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No
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