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Reviewer's report:

General

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

This version of the paper is a tremendous improvement over the first version. The authors have answered all of my original criticisms carefully and have made the paper very readable. I just have a couple of suggestions:
1. On p. 5, remove the semicolon from "Assume there are; ...".
2. On p. 4, when I go to ../../liste_des_meta_termes_anglais.html and click on a term, I get a PubMed search, not the expected semantic links for the term. Please clarify in some way.

What next?: Accept after discretionary revisions

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No
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