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Reviewer's report:

General

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)
Since both the PDA technologies and application development tools have improved a lot in these years, please:
(1) clearly described WHEN this study was done and when the data were
(2) add one figure showing the representative screen display of the documentation application so the users can have a better idea what exact the documentation looks like
(3) add one or two paragraphs in the discussion section discussing the potential impacts of improving PDA and development tools in future application.
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes