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General

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

ABSTRACT:
Background: change "allows to measure the" to "measures". omit "when"

BACKGROUND:
In second paragraph 3rd sentence, I suggest:
Other important limitations of this type of assessment are difficulties of standardization, objectivity of scoring, and practicability for large groups of examinees.

METHODS:
Development of website:
2nd paragraph change "programmation" to "programming"
5th sentence rearrange "The description of the resulting relational database has been specifically designed for the level of detailed conception, database diagrams, Entity-Relationship Modelling, and Physical Model.

What next?: Accept after discretionary revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable
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