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Reviewer's report:

Discretionary Revisions
A further close proof-read would eliminate a few remaining typos / English usage issues - e.g. "PDA's" should be "PDAs"; "Well-fare" should be "Welfare"

Minor Essential Revisions
1 Under participants, include their context, i.e. The Netherlands, if this is so (author affiliations should not be used to infer the national context of the research)
2 The title would benefit from the re-insertion of "in hospital care".

Major Compulsory Revisions
None. The authors have addressed reviewers' feedback very thoughtfully, to substantially clarify issues with the earlier version.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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