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Reviewer's report:

Major Compulsory Revisions

1 - The claim "we found no differences in time of eviQ access according to level of training" needs to be supported with statistical analysis.

2 - The claim "our rate analysis did not demonstrate any notable differences in eviQ use by years of oncology experience." needs to be explained further with the nature of rate analysis.

3 - It is not clear in Figure 4-B how the numbers on y-axis are obtained, and in the second part of Figure 4-B, weekend numbers are too small to see in the graphics.

4 - Have the authors carried out a reliability study for the online survey? If not they need to state it.

5 - It would be better if the authors showed changes in trends over years for the eviQ usage.
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