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**Reviewer’s report:**

The authors have addressed my concerns adequately except for one, which they admit they are unable to address given the parameters of the study. The pre-/post- adherence is only for one month and not an adequate time period for adherence analysis. This is why not all datasets can be used for all analyses. I fear a biased analysis with this short time period. I would suggest eliminating this part of the analysis. However, if the authors feel that this would completely undermine their paper, then this must be discussed in the limitations section--at present, not done.

The above is a Major Compulsory Revision.

Otherwise, I am satisfied with the revised manuscript.

**Level of interest:** An article of importance in its field

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable

**Statistical review:** No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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