Reviewer’s report

Title: User needs elicitation via analytic hierarchy process (AHP). A case study on a CT scanner.

Version: 4 Date: 27 September 2012

Reviewer: Faith-Michael Uzoka

Reviewer’s report:

Minor Revisions

1. It is not enough to say that the rating inconsistencies are <0.1. Authors need to indicate the actual inconsistency values and how they were computed.
2. Rather than reporting that p<0.05 (Tables 3 and 4), the authors need to report the actual values.
3. There is need to explicitly state the limitations of the study.
4. Some references are incomplete – page ranges missing.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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