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Reviewer's report:

Abstract - Is uninformative...
The background makes little or no sense.. immediate information needs, ad hoc decision making and daily management activities?? Please clarify. The purpose of this study was to ... We assessed the following research questions...
Method: what theoretical construct??
Results: you did some statistical analyses - what were the results - how much variance explained what was Cronbachs alpha etc...
Discussion: what did you conclude?
Intro: the addition of the research questions is commendable. however much text has been added with little further critical evaluation or referencing
Method - how was consent managed? Was IRB Ethics committee approval gained for this paper - again text could be greatly trimmed. Statistical analysis discussion is greeatly improved
Discussion and Results sections also improved but again would strongly advise a good edit

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.
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