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Reviewer's report:

The authors addressed my general comments in a satisfactory way. Indeed, the revised version of the manuscript is more conventionally ordered, and is thus much easier to read.

I am pleased that the authors agreed with my remark about unscreened results. However, contrary to what they mention in their response, the new corresponding paragraph has been added in the 'Result' section, not in the 'Methods'. It is not a major point, but I think that it would more relevant in the 'Methods' section.

Finally, my only remaining remark concerns, once again, the "Many published evaluations." sentence of the 'Background' section. This assertion, despite slight rewording, is still not documented by any reference. However, I trust that the authors will add a few references, or at least point out that this fact essentially concerns the UK.

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.

Declaration of competing interests:

I declare that I have no competing interests'