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Title: Comparison of Alphabetical versus Categorical Display Format for Medication Order Entry in a Simulated Touch Screen Anesthesia Information Management System: An Experiment in Clinician-Computer Interaction in Anesthesia

Version: 5 Date: 6 May 2012
Reviewer: Alexander Avidan

Reviewer's report:
Minor Essential Revisions:
1. There is still "2.0 minutes" in the manuscript...
   Done

2. I cannot find a reference to Figures 3 and 4 in the manuscript.
   Done.
   The order of figure 3 and figure 4 has been reversed to reflect their order of appearance of reference in the manuscript. Previous figure 3 is now figure 4 and vice versa.

3. Figure 4: Add the p values.
   Done.
   This has been added to figure legend of figure 3 (previous figure 4).

Discretionary Revisions
1. The reference to Table 1 on page 10 may be not necessary.
Level of interest: An article of importance in its field
Quality of written English: Acceptable
Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a Statistician.
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Reviewer: Jeffrey Green
Reviewer's report:
I believe the authors have addressed the editorial concerns satisfactorily.
Level of interest: An article of importance in its field
Quality of written English: Acceptable
Statistical review: Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.