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Editors
BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making

Dear Editors,

We are pleased to re-submit our manuscript “Shared Decision Making for Prostate Cancer Screening: The Results of a Combined Analysis of Two Practice-Based Randomized Control Trials” for further consideration for publication as an original research article. The additional comment from reviewer 2 again strengthens our work. We have addressed it as below:

**Reviewer 2 Comment:** The conclusion [in the abstract]: “However, it is difficult to help men participate equally with their doctor, or at least, at a level at which they desire and feel comfortable” does not seem warranted and should be removed from the discussion.

**Our Response:** We have replaced this conclusion in the abstract with the conclusion we made at the end of the paper: “Shared decision making interventions may not guarantee increases in shared decisions.”

Thanks for your additional consideration.

Sincerely,

Stacey L. Sheridan, MD, MPH
Associate Professor of Medicine