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Reviewer's report:

The authors have addressed all of the issues and the paper is definitely improved in my opinion.

Minor Essential Revisions:

* Page 6: "Specifically, as part of the menu set meaningful use measures"
  --> Change it to 'menu set of'

* Page 8: "such as clinical work experience, specialist, and age..."
  --> Change 'specialist' to 'specialty'

* 'Data' is a plural word. So please look for places that it is use incorrectly such as:
  1) "This data indicates that" --> "These data indicate that"
  2) "this data is not strong" --> "these data are not strong"

* Page 26: "Finally, this research gave insight into how restrictive a problem list policy should be towards allowing the additional of a broad range of problem types."

I think it should state, "allowing the addition of a broad range..."

Discretionary Revisions:

* Consider makes "health information exchange" plural, as in "health information exchanges"

* You can probably round the percentages to the nearest tenth (or even the nearest whole percentage). Keeping the percentages rounded to the nearest hundredth has no real meaning in this setting. Such precision isn't necessary.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the
statistics.
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