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Reviewer's report:

1) 559 patients were initially selected. 93 were excluded. Could you please describe this subgroup

2) Did you apply the grade as defined by SBR or by Elston Ellis

3) The statistical methodology to analyze the calibration is unclear and not the most frequent. DO you have any reference corresponding to it

4) P12. If you pre-define two groups of « low » and « high » risk for sure you will find a difference. Not relevant

5) Figures 1 and 2 were inverted and the legend are inappropriate

6) P15. You are not the first one to publish a validation Eur J Cancer, may 2012

7) P15 Could you explain your corrective factor of 1,259

8) P17. In the discussion you are telling us that your results are concordant with the literature in terms of breast cancer specific survival and overall survival but you excluded part of the series when patients died from other causes

9) P17 25% of missing data remained huge; Modify

10) I do not understand this sentence AOL tend to underestimate has underestimated the BCSS in ER breast cancer patient ».

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.