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Editor-in-Chief

BMC Medical Informatics & Decision Making Journal

10 Jan 2010, Dublin, Ireland

Dear Editor-in-Chief,

We are very happy that you have finally accepted our manuscript for publication (MS: 4853068524475975). According to your latest comments, we have formatted the paper (abstract and main text) according to your instructions (see below).

Please, find enclosed now the 2nd Revised Version of our manuscript entitled “Developing an Electronic Health Record (EHR) for Methadone Treatment Recording and Decision Support” by Xiao L and collaborators for publication in your Journal.

Best wishes,

Dr Borislav D Dimitrov, MD MSc SMHM DM/PhD
Corresponding Author (on behalf of All Authors)
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Replies to comments by the Editors

1.1 Following your instructions, the Abstract has now been revised and structured, according to the same structure as for the main text itself.

1.2 The main text has also been revised and structured into the relevant sections, i.e., Background, Methods, Results, etc., as appropriate. In particular, we combined the sections Discussion and Conclusions (including also further perspectives) and we also separated a section on Abbreviations used. We also indicated by bold the denomination of each figure when it is mentioned first as to indicate where it is supposed to be placed within the main text of the manuscript, as to be of your convenience.