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Reviewer's report:

A sound evaluation is missing proving that SciReader is beneficial over Google, Wikipedia etc. Just a little survey with 104 people stating that SciReader is nice and saves time, is not enough. You have to show that it saves time compared to other methods such as Google etc.
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