Reviewer’s report

Title: SciReader enables reading of medical content with instantaneous definitions

Version: 2 Date: 16 September 2010

Reviewer: Philipp Daumke

Reviewer’s report:

Major Compulsory Revisions

The software is not accessible without password. The authors claim that the password protection will be removed, IF the paper will get accepted. Why that?

There is no scientific claim in this paper. No user acceptance tests are described. Is this tool really helpful for laymen, where is the proof? How can you make sure that the definition given by the terminology resources is better understandable for lay persons than the term itself? The example you give shows: Somebody who doesn’t know what a fibroblast growth factor is, will have no additional information from the definitions you provide.

Level of interest: An article of insufficient interest to warrant publication in a scientific/medical journal

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.

Declaration of competing interests:

I declare that I have no competing interests