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Dear Ladies and Gentlemen,

Thank you very much for checking again our manuscript’s conformance to the journal style. Please find enclosed a point-by-point overview of the formatting changes made following to your requests.

Yours sincerely

Cord Spreckelsen

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revision</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Major revisions (we require the author to make these changes)</td>
<td>We are very sorry for having caused this confusion. Now all references should be finally numbered consecutively. We found an additional error on page 16, where at the end of the following sentence ref 21 had been cited instead of ref 22 (which was corrected): “Recently, a comprehensive classification of bibliometric measures based on a principal component analysis was published [22]”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>References: References should be cited in the text using consecutive numbers in square brackets. Currently reference 13 is cited out of order</td>
<td>The rows of Table 3 are now highlighted by a bold face font instead of the grey background. The tables’ footnote was changed respectively.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tables: we are unable to display shading and colours within the tables. Can we therefore ask you to use another method of highlighting the shaded text e.g. by making it bold.</td>
<td>p.3 : “Finally, cluster analysis of titles and abstracts” -&gt; “Finally, a cluster analysis of titles and abstracts”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typography: Please take this opportunity to check your manuscript for any typographical errors and to make any final corrections or revisions. This is the final proofing stage for your manuscript, and you will not be able to make any changes after acceptance.</td>
<td>p.5: “reflects seniority, i.e., it would grow for” -&gt; “reflects seniority, i.e. it would grow for”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p.10: “selecting the 45 (out of 172) ISI categories refering to” -&gt; “selecting the 45 (out of 172) ISI categories referring to”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
categories referring to”
p.13: “pair wise intersections” -> “pairwise intersections”
Appendix 2: “The procedure starts which an initial set of journals” -> “The procedure starts with an initial set of journals”