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**Reviewer's report:**

General comments.

The authors have revised the paper according to the reviewers original comments and have amended it accordingly. I have no further concerns regarding the content of the paper. I do however have some general comments:

1. Track changes should be removed from the submitted paper. There are some areas where the language could be improved and these have been noted on the attached Word document.

2. I struggled with the language of the paper. Whilst it was understandable, it is clear that it has not been written by a fluent/native speaker of English. I have made some suggestions (see attached) of key changes I think should be made. However I feel that the paper would benefit from some proper editing if possible. The degree to which this is necessary is subjective and I will leave that up to the Editors to decide.

**Level of interest:** An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published

**Statistical review:** No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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