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Reviewer’s report:

Summary

This is the second of two papers that present a telemedicine model for delivering clinical neurophysiology services. Part 2 focuses on clinician and patient perspective.

As the first paper, the paper presents a straightforward organisation of a telemedicine service.

Major Compulsory Revisions

Comment 1.

Page 2: An interesting question is whether the feedback from the patients would have been different if the waiting time was equal for the two groups. (In general, reduced waiting time will result in a positive response.)

This should have been discussed/commented in the paper.

Comment 2.

A crucial factor for implementing this kind of service is whether the tele-based service reduces the cost.

As long as the authors have not identified any clinical improvements, the result presented does not provide the necessary argumentation for implementing the described teleneurophysiology service.

This must be discussed in the paper.

Minor Essential Revisions

None

Discretionary Revisions

Comment 3.

Table 3. The table shows that 24 % of the patients have had an EEG before. This
patient group should have received additional questions.
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