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Author’s response to reviews:

12th August 2010

Dear Ms Neilan

Many thanks for reviewing the revision of our manuscript entitled: Formative evaluation of a telemedicine model for delivering clinical neurophysiology services part II: The referring clinician and patient perspective. We are very pleased that the reviewer is happy with our manuscript.

As advised in your e-mail of August 7th last we have now included a "Competing Interests" section between the Conclusions and Authors' Contributions. We have used tracked changes to highlight this.

As you know this is the second part of two manuscripts describing the evaluation of a teleneurophysiology service. We also submitted part 1 to BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making on March 10th last and a revision on June 28th last. Each of these manuscripts makes reference to the other.

Please let me know if you require any further information.

Yours sincerely

Mary Fitzsimons.