Reviewer's report

**Title:** Understanding and Retention of informed consent process among Parents in a Rural Northern Ghana

**Version:** 4  **Date:** 26 February 2008

**Reviewer:** Alan R Tait

**Reviewer's report:**

The authors have done a good job responding to the reviewers' comments. However, I still believe that the introduction and discussion are way too long. I am concerned that the excessive length will lose the reader. The theme that informed consent and its understanding thereof is an ethical imperative is repeated over and over again. Much of this can be deleted without losing the message. There are still a few typos and grammatical mistakes that require attention.

**What next?:** Accept after discretionary revisions

**Level of interest:** An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable

**Statistical review:** No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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